



#14
Eiffel Tower \$
5/25/01
c

S&H Form: (2/01)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	122.1329	
	Application Number	09/045,041	
	Filing Date	March 20, 1998	
	First Named Inventor	Hisanori FUJISAWA	
	Group Art Unit	2123	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	110.00	Examiner Name	Hugh Jones

RECEIVED
MAY 23 2001
Technology Center 2100

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/00)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	37	-37 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	-4 =	0	X \$ 80.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>April 16, 2001</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$390); 3 months (\$890); 4 months (\$1,390); 5 months (\$1,890)):					110.00
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$310)					0.00
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					0.00
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 110.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 110.00

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☒ Check enclosed as payment.
☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
☐ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:
- | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Deposit Account No. | 19-3935 |
| Deposit Account Name | STAAS & HALSEY LLP |
- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC ' 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name	Christine Joan Gilsdorf	Reg. No.	43,635
Signature	C. Joan Gilsdorf	Date	5/16/01

©2001 Staas & Halsey LLP

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

on May 16, 2001
By: C. Joan Gilsdorf
Date: 5/16/01



RECEIVED

MAY 23 2001

Technology Center 2100
Docket No. 122.1329/CJG

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Hisanori FUJISAWA

Serial No.: 09/045,041

Filed: March 20, 1998

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CARRYING OUT CIRCUIT SIMULATION

Group Art Unit: 2763

Examiner: H. Jones

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

on May 16, 2001
STASS & HALSEY

By: C. Joan Stilsdorf
Date: 5/16/01

This is in response to the Office Action mailed January 16, 2001, and having a period for response set to expire on April 16, 2001. A Petition for a one-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period for response to May 16, 2001. The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted.

REMARKS

Claims 9-45 are pending in this application and have been rejected. No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry are respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

On pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 9-45 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter. The Examiner has either repeated or modified his rejection under §101 from the prior Office Action. Applicants maintain their arguments with respect to these claims, as found in the prior amendments filed November 21, 2000 and December 21, 2000.